

U.S. Military Bases in Foreign Nations According to the Department of Defense's Property Replacement Value (PRV)

The data below is compiled from the "Department of Defense Base Structure Report, Fiscal Year 2007 Baseline" - DOD's official listing of its real property inventory in foreign nations. The data used for this fact sheet, is the latest available data, which is current as of Sept. 30, 2006.

As noted from the Base Structure Report, our fact sheet also uses DOD's classification of a base or "site" as either "small," "medium," "large," or "other," depending on its Plant Replacement Value (PRV). According to the Department of Defense, PRV refers to how much it would cost to replace the onsite physical structures of existing bases. More specifically, PRV is the cost of replacing the current physical plant (facilities and supporting physical infrastructure) using today's construction costs (labor and materials) and standards (methodologies and codes). For instance, a "large" facility is determined by DOD as having a PRV greater than or equal to \$1.640 billion. Definitions for "small" and "medium" facilities can be found within the General Footnotes section, at the end of this table series.

According to DOD, "other" sites are those that are smaller than 10 acres and have a PRV of less than \$10 million. Please note that the calculations for the tables below do not include sites classified as "other." Because of their large number, their inclusion would complicate our display of different data we believe more meaningful. Following the tables of individual country listings, however, is a table which includes the total number of "other" sites worldwide.

The following tables illustrate U.S. military sites by country, in ranked order from highest Plant Replacement Value (PRV) per country to lowest PRV per country. The countries (or territories) listed with the highest PRVs are in Europe and Asia. Of the ten highest ranking PRV base listing, five are in Europe including Germany, Italy, the United Kingdom, Iceland, and Greenland; with another three in Asia, including Japan, South Korea, and the Marshall Islands and the remaining two being Cuba, in the Western Hemisphere and the British Indian Ocean Territories in the South Asia region.

We found during our research that the Department of Defense categorizes U.S. military personnel ambiguously. There is no specific indication in the Base Structure Report as to whether all active duty or reserve components located at or associated with each facility in any way are actually displayed. In addition, temporary deployments are not accounted for in this data and contract personnel are only listed to the extent that they are "known," and/or if the data is "available." Most importantly, the country totals for military personnel indicated in the Base Structure Report do not match those listed in other Department of Defense reports on military personnel deployments by country and region. The most recent public data for U.S. military manpower overseas is the "Active Duty Military Personnel Strengths by Regional Area and by Country," listing from June 30, 2007. It is available at: <http://siadapp.dmdc.osd.mil/personnel/MILITARY/history/hst0706.pdf>.

We have listed general and site specific endnotes to complement the data in the tables that follow. We also provide links to various reports, studies, and other general information to provide additional resources. To view the 2007 Department of Defense Base Structure Report from which the data in the table below are extracted, go to http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/BSR_2007_Baseline.pdf.

The "available" Department of Defense data, ranked by largest PRV per country first, is as follows:

1. Germany⁵

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Ramstein Air Base www.ramstein.af.mil/	Air Force	8,711	839	1,654	3,102	3,378.7
Spangdahlem www.spangdahlem.af.mil/	Air Force	4,350	204	461	1,619	1,760.8
Large Sites Total (2)	2 Air Force	13,061	1,043	2,115	4,721	5,139.5
Medium Sites¹						
South Camp Vilseck ⁶	Army	3,712	255	1,585	2,193	1,396.0
East Camp Grafenwoehr ⁷ www.grafenwoehr.army.mil/	Army	1,806	270	1,034	2,698	1,303.7
Mannheim Class III Point home.mannheim.army.mil/sites/local/	Army	0	0	7	28	1,257.0
Hohenfels Training Area www.grafenwoehr.army.mil/hohenfels/sites/about/mission.asp	Army	1,294	208	1,163	40,024	1,158.5
Smith Barracks (Baumholder proper) ⁸ www.baumholder.army.mil/sites/local/	Army	4,197	301	1,094	1,018	1,139.2
Medium Sites Total (5)	5 Army	11,009	1,034	4,883	45,961	6,254.4
Small Sites¹						
Total (218)	192 Army 26 Air Force	39,143	7,155	26,807	114,192	31,086.1
Grand Total (225)						
	197 Army 28 Air Force	63,213	9,232	33,805	164,864	42,480.0

2. Japan⁹

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Kadena Air Base www.kadena.af.mil/	Air Force	7,259	553	1,950	4,915	4,385.6

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Misawa Air Base www.misawa.af.mil/	Air Force	3,432	161	814	3,865	3,840.7
Comfleact Yokosuka www.cfay.navy.mil/	Navy	9,333	425	2,995	2,662	3,745.8
Yokota AB www.yokota.af.mil/	Air Force	3,166	251	1,293	1,750	3,242.5
Camp Foster-6044 (Zukeran) ¹⁰	Marine	41	11	35	1,586	1,847.6
Large Sites Total (5)	3 Air Force 1 Navy 1 Marine	23,231	1,401	7,087	14,778	17,062.2
Medium Sites¹						
Iwakuni www.iwakuni.usmc.mil/	Marine	1,233	1,513	816	1,512	1,552.6
NAF Atsugi www.atsugi.navy.mil/	Navy	1,209	43	150	1,237	1,456.1
Camp Kinser-6056 ¹⁰	Marine	5,095	0	0	676	1,378.7
Camp Hansen-6011 ¹⁰	Marine	846	3,131	1	12,647	1,027.2
Camp Zama www.usarj.army.mil/	Army	586	558	2,352	578	1,015.8
Medium Sites Total (5)	3 Marine 1 Navy 1 Army	8,969	5,245	3,319	16,650	6,430.4
Small Sites¹						
Total (82)	34 Navy 18 Air Force 17 Marine 13 Army	16,609	957	5,547	96,195	13,200.6
Grand Total (92)						
	36 Navy 22 Air Force 21 Marine 13 Army	48,809	7,603	15,953	127,623	36,693.2

3. Republic of Korea¹¹

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Osan ¹² www.osan.af.mil/	Air Force	5,268	170	1,219	1,788	2,241.1
Medium Sites¹						
Yongsan Garrison ¹² Yongsan.korea.army.mil/sites/local/	Army	3,997	1,067	7,466	639	1,427.9
Kunsan Air Base www.kunsan.af.mil/	Air Force	2,755	38	586	2,557	1,423.9
Camp Humphreys ¹² area3.korea.army.mil/	Army	4,539	148	2,518	1,230	1,196.3
Camp Casey ¹³	Army	4,450	65	2,364	3,497	1,137.6
Medium Sites Total (4)	3 Army 1 Air Force	15,741	1,318	12,934	7,923	5,185.7
Small Sites¹						
Total (73)	57 Army 10 Air Force 5 Navy 1 Marine	8,317	847	9,675	44,258	6,315.8
Grand Total (78)						
	60 Army 12 Air Force 5 Navy 1 Marine	29,346	2,335	23,828	53,969	13,742.6

4. Italy¹⁴

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Medium Sites¹						
NATO Maritime Airfield (Sigonella) www.sicily.navy.mil/	Navy	0	0	0	235	1,097.6
Aviano Air Base www.aviano.af.mil/	Air Force	3,972	208	388	1,207	1,069.2
Medium Sites Total (2)	1 Navy 1 Air Force	3,972	208	388	1,442	2166.8
Small Sites¹						
Total (47)	20 Navy	7,677	1,185	3,802	7,604	4,051.5

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
	15 Air Force 12 Army					
Grand Total (49)	21 Navy 16 Air Force 12 Army	11,649	1,393	4,190	9,046	6,218.3

5. United Kingdom¹⁵

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Medium Sites¹						
RAF Lakenheath www.lakenheath.af.mil/	Air Force	4,861	254	0	2,006	1,818.1
RAF Mildenhall www.mildenhall.af.mil/	Air Force	3,736	214	4	1,123	1,149.3
Medium Sites Total (2)	2 Air Force	8,597	486	4	3,129	2,967.4
Small Sites¹						
Total (26)	18 Air Force 6 Navy 2 Army	2,363	378	254	5,321	2,907.4
Grand Total (28)	20 Air Force 6 Navy 2 Army	10,960	846	258	8,450	5,874.8

6. Iceland

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Naval Air Station Keflavik ¹⁶	Navy	81	19	378	0	2,456.0

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (7)	7 Navy	0	0	0	1,049	234.6
Grand Total (8)						
	8 Navy	81	19	378	1,049	2,690.6

7. British Indian Ocean Territories

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Navy Support Facility Diego Garcia www.dg.navy.mil/web/	Navy	311	0	11	7,000	2,541.2
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	311	0	11	7,000	2,541.2

8. Greenland

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Thule Greenland www.thule.af.mil/	Air Force	138	2	0	233,034	2,436.8
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Air Force	138	2	0	233,034	2,436.8

9. Marshall Islands

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
U.S. Army Kwajalein Atoll www.smdc.army.mil/KWAJ/BaseOps.html	Army	26	55	2,327	1,361	2,159.1
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Army	26	55	2,327	1,361	2,159.1

10. Cuba

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Large Sites¹						
Guantanamo Bay ¹⁷ www.cnic.navy.mil/Guantanamo/index.htm	Navy	1,457	137	133	28,817	2,037.5
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	1,457	137	133	28,817	2,037.5

11. Spain

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Medium Sites¹						
Rota www.rota.navy.mil/navsta/	Navy	1,108	167	684	5,962	1,421.6
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	143	9	11	2,811	538.9
Grand Total (2)						
	1 Navy 1 Air Force	1,251	176	695	8,773	1,960.5

12. Turkey¹⁸

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Medium Sites¹						
Incirlik AB www.incirlik.af.mil/	Air Force	1,444	121	142	3,337	1,236.1
Small Sites¹						
Total (7)	7 Air Force	191	11	53	183	162.3
Grand Total (8)						
	8 Air Force	1,635	132	195	3,520	1,398.4

13. Portugal

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Medium Sites¹						
Medium Site: Lajes Field www.lajes.af.mil/	Air Force	805	91	618	973	932.5
Small Sites¹						
Total (8)	8 Air Force	0	0	0	454	229.8
Grand Total (9)						
	9 Air Force	805	91	618	1,427	1,162.3

14. Belgium¹⁹

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (9)	7 Army 2 Air Force	1,277	416	1,616	1,074	637.8
Grand Total (9)						
	7 Army 2 Air Force	1,277	416	1,616	1,074	637.8

15. Bahrain²⁰

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (5)	5 Navy	2,333	70	106	105	499.1
Grand Total (5)						
	5 Navy	2,333	70	106	105	499.1

16. Qatar

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	158	1	0	13,534	384.7

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Grand Total (1)	1 Air Force	158	1	0	13,534	384.7

17. Netherlands²¹

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (5)	5 Army	309	157	796	26	366.6
Grand Total (5)	5 Army	309	157	796	26	366.6

18. Saint Helena

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	3	0	0	3,856	347.9
Grand Total (1)	1 Air Force	3	0	0	3,856	347.9

19. Bahamas²²

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (2)	2 Navy	0	0	0	539	336.0
Grand Total (2)	2 Navy	0	0	0	539	336.0

20. Australia²³

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value
------	-----------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------	-------	------------------------------------

						for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Navy	26	0	0	18,093	322.5
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	26	0	0	18,093	322.5

21. Greece

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (3)	3 Navy	382	54	154	101	292.3
Grand Total (3)						
	3 Navy	382	54	154	101	292.3

22. Luxembourg²⁴

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (2)	2 Army	9	0	992	214	268.5
Grand Total (2)						
	2 Army	9	0	992	214	268.5

23. Ecuador²⁵

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	13	0	0	102	181.9
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Air Force	13	0	0	102	181.9

24. Singapore²⁶

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value
------	-----------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------	-------	------------------------------------

						for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (2)	1 Air Force 1 Navy	115	15	10	0	175.5
Grand Total (2)						
	1 Air Force 1 Navy	115	15	10	0	175.5

25. Denmark

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	0	0	0	0	108.9
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Air Force	0	0	0	0	108.9

26. Antigua

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	2	0	0	164	94.2
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Air Force	2	0	0	164	94.2

27. Oman²⁷

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (3)	3 Air Force	1	0	0	9,000	70.1
Grand Total (3)						
	3 Air Force	1	0	0	9,000	70.1

28. United Arab Emirates

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (2)	1 Air Force 1 Navy	37	3	0	11,370	47.4
Grand Total (2)						
	1 Air Force 1 Navy	37	3	0	11,370	47.4

29. Netherlands Antilles

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Air Force	10	0	0	42	46.1
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Air Force	10	0	0	42	46.1

30. Egypt

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Navy	29	4	12	3	42.0
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	29	4	12	3	42.0

31. Kenya

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Navy	0	0	0	0	17.2
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	0	0	0	0	17.2

32. Indonesia

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Small Sites¹						
Total (1)	1 Navy	19	0	0	0	11.1
Grand Total (1)						
	1 Navy	19	0	0	0	11.1

 Other Sites

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Total (277)	(none given)	1,413	169	1,297	416	705.2

Overseas Totals

Site	Component	Military Personnel ²	Civilian Personnel ³	Other Personnel ⁴	Acres	PRV: Total Plant Replacement Value for all Facilities (\$M)
Total without <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Sites (546) Large (14) Medium (20) Small (512)	300 Army 129 Air Force 95 Navy 22 Marine	174,404	22,741	86,077	707,156	125,645.2
Total with Other Sites	823	175,817	22,910	87,374	707,572	126,350.4

General Resources:

Department of Defense Base Structure Report, Fiscal Year 2007 Baseline: http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/BSR_2007_Baseline.pdf.

Department of Defense Base Structure Report, Fiscal Year 2006 Baseline: http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/BSR_2006_Baseline.pdf.

Department of Defense Base Structure Report, Fiscal Year 2005 Baseline: http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/20050527_2005BSR.pdf.

Department of Defense Base Structure Report, Fiscal Year 2004 Baseline: http://www.dod.mil/pubs/20040910_2004BaseStructureReport.pdf.

Department of Defense Global Posture Homepage: http://www.defenselink.mil/home/features/global_posture/gp-index.html.

Department of Defense Active Duty Military Personnel Strengths by Regional Area and by Country: June 30, 2007:
<http://siadapp.dmdc.osd.mil/personnel/MILITARY/history/hst0706.pdf>

Commission on Review of Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States (Overseas Basing Commission), May 9, 2005:
<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/obc.pdf>.

Government Accountability Office Report: DOD's Overseas Infrastructure Master Plans Continue to Evolve, August 22, 2006:
<http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06913r.pdf>.

Congressional Research Service Report for Congress: U.S. Military Overseas Basing: New Development and Oversight Issues for Congress, October 31, 2005: <http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL33148.pdf>.

Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld's Testimony to Senate Armed Services Committee, September 23, 2004:
<http://www.defenselink.mil/speeches/speech.aspx?speechid=156>.

President George W. Bush: "Making America More Secure by Transforming Our Military," August 16, 2004:
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/08/20040816-5.html>.

Base Closure and Realignment Report, May 2005 (does not include overseas sites):
http://www.defenselink.mil/brac/pdf/Vol_I_Part_1_DOD_BRAC.pdf.
http://www.defenselink.mil/brac/pdf/Vol_I_Part_2_DOD_BRAC.pdf.

Quadrennial Defense Review Report, February 6, 2006: <http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/pdfs/QDR20060203.pdf>.

DOD Homepage on U.S. Military Commands: <http://www.defenselink.mil/specials/unifiedcommand/>.

Endnotes:

General (source: http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/BSR_2007_Baseline.pdf)

1. Large Site = Total PRV greater than or equal to \$1.640B
Medium Site = Total PRV less than \$1.640B and greater than or equal to \$875M
Small Site = Total PRV less than \$875M and greater than 0
Other Site = sites that do not meet criteria of at least 10 acres OR at least \$10M PRV

Note that on p. 22 of the BSR, the DOD defines other sites as "PRV equal to 0 (Zero) – primarily land records," but on pp. 77-96 (from where the data for these tables was extracted), other sites are defined as sites "that do not meet criteria of at least ten (10) Acres OR at least \$10M PRV. For these tables, the standard specified on pp. 77-96 is used to calculate the number of "other sites." One will note that on p. 22, the

number of “other” sites overseas is listed as 47—this was using the standard on p.22, not the standard on pp. 77-96. Therefore, the totals listed in our tables do not match the totals listed on p. 22 for small and other sites, because we used the pp. 77-96 criteria, not the p. 22 criteria.

2. Military Personnel identifies all known military personnel authorized for the site or installation. Includes Active Duty, Guard, and Reserve Personnel.
3. Civilian Personnel identifies all known Department of Defense civilian personnel authorized for the site or installation, regardless of service affiliation. Note: civilian numbers for the Navy represent assigned personnel not authorized.
4. Other Personnel identifies all known other civilian personnel identified for the site, including personnel paid from Nonappropriated Funds (NAF), Foreign Nationals (direct hire) at foreign locations, and, if available, any full-time contractor personnel, regardless of Service affiliation.

Site-Specific

5. For information on proposed DOD base realignments and closures in Germany, see: “DoD Announces Realignments in Germany,” U.S. Department of Defense News Release, July 11, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=11120>. “DoD Announces Installation Realignment in Germany,” U.S. Department of Defense News Release, December 14, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=10294>.
6. There is no DOD homepage for Vilseck, as it is being realigned (see 12.14.06 DoD news release above); for information on the history of the base, see: “Rose Barracks: Vilseck, Germany,” Global Security.org, July 6, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/vilseck.htm>
7. There is no DOD homepage for East Camp specifically at Grafenwoehr
8. For more information on the Smith Barracks, see: “Smith Barracks: Baumholder, Germany,” Global Security.org, April 26, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/baumholder.htm>.
9. For information on United States Forces in Japan, see: United States Forces Japan, <http://www.usfj.mil/>. For information about United States-Japan security and defense cooperation and base realignments, see: “Joint Statement of the U.S.-Japan Security Consultative Committee,” U.S. Department of State, May 1, 2007, <http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2007/may/84084.htm>.
10. There are no DOD homepages for these individual sites. Camp Foster (Zukeran), Camp Kinser, and Camp Hansen are all components of Marine Corps Base Camp S. D. Butler, which is physically separated throughout the island of Okinawa into multiple different camps; view the DoD Camp Butler homepage at: “Marine Corps Base Smedley D. Butler,” U.S. Marines in Japan, <http://www.mcbbutler.usmc.mil/default.htm>. Also, for more information on individual sites, see: “Camp S.D. Butler,” Global Security.org, April 26, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/camp-butler.htm>. “Camp Foster (Camp Zukeran): Okinawa, Japan,” Global Security.org, April 26, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/camp-zukeran.htm>. “Camp Kinser Makiminato Service Area / Supply Site: Urasoe City, Okinawa, Japan,” Global Security.org, April 26, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/camp-kinser.htm>. “Camp Hansen Central Training Area: Okinawa, Japan,” Global Security.org, April 26, 2005, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/camp-hansen.htm>.
11. For information on United States Forces in the Republic of Korea, see: United States Forces Korea, <http://www.usfk.mil/>. For information about U.S.-Republic of Korea security and defense cooperation and base realignments, see: “The 38th Security Consultative Meeting Communiqué,” U.S. Department of Defense News Release, October 20, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/Oct2006/d20061020uskorea.pdf>. Also: B.B. Bell and Sonya L. Finley, “South Korea Leads the Warfight,” *Joint Forces Quarterly* (Issue 47, 4th Quarter 2007), http://www.usfk.mil/org/FKPA/sptr/contents/10_1_07_JFQ%20Article,%20South%20Korea%20Leads%20the%20Warfight.pdf.

12. Yongsan Garrison is scheduled to move to Camp Humphreys; Humphreys and Osan will comprise one of the two hubs of future U.S. military presence in S. Korea, see: Fred W. Baker III, "Camp Humphreys Development Rises from Rice Paddies," American Forces Press Service, June 21, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=46501>.
Jim Garamone, "Rumsfeld Reaffirms U.S. Promise to Republic of Korea," American Forces Press Service, October 20, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=1739>.
13. Access to the Camp Casey DOD homepage is denied. Camp Casey is scheduled to be consolidated with Camp Red Cloud, see: Gerry J. Gilmore, "U.S. Troops Slated to Move South from Korean DMZ," American Forces Press Service, June 6, 2003, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=28899>
14. For information on the Southern European Task Force, headquartered in Vicenza, Italy, see: Southern European Task Force, www.setaf.army.mil/. Also, view the most recent DOD Italian realignment proposal: "DoD Announces Installation Realignment in Italy," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, September 8, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/Releases/Release.aspx?ReleaseID=9928>.
15. For information on the 2006-2007 announced United Kingdom realignments, see: Navy: "DoD Announces Installation Realignment in the United Kingdom," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, March 30, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=10671>.
Air Force: "DoD Announces Installation Realignment in the United Kingdom," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, November 22, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=10210>.
Army: "DoD Announces Installation Realignment in the United Kingdom," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, May 9, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=9532>.
16. Keflavik closed September 30, 2006, for details, see: Jim Garamone, "Last U.S. Service members to Leave Iceland September 30," American Forces Press Service, September 28, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=1291>.
17. For a recent discussion of the mission of the detention facilities at Guantanamo Bay, see: Jim Garamone, "Commander Discusses Perceptions of Guantanamo," American Forces Press Service, June 27, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=46569>.
18. For information about recent U.S.-Turkey security cooperation, see: Fred W. Baker III, "Turkey Signs On for Next Phase of Joint Strike Fighter," American Force Press Service, January 26, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=2831>.
19. Belgium is host to NATO's Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE), for more information, see: <http://www.nato.int/shape/>.
20. For information about U.S. Naval support activity in Bahrain, see: <http://www.nsa.bahrain.navy.mil/index.htm>.
21. For information on the Netherlands base realignments, see: "DoD Announces Installation Realignments in Netherlands," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, May 18, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=9567>.
For information on U.S.-Netherlands security cooperation, see: "Department of Defense and Netherlands Sign Next Stage Joint Strike Fighter Agreement," U.S. Department of Defense News Release, November 16, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=10183>.
22. For information on transitioning U.S. DOD activity in the Bahamas, see: Juan McCartney, "US Army Says Farewell to OPBAT," *The Bahama Journal*, October 1, 2007, <http://www.jonesbahamas.com/?c=45&a=14333>.
23. The U.S. and Australia signed a Treaty on Defense Trade Cooperation in September 2007, for more information on U.S.-Australia defense partnership, see: Donna Miles, "Keating Meets with Australian Leaders to Enhance Military Partnership," American Forces Press Service, September 21, 2007, <http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=47541>.
"U.S.-Australia Defense Trade Cooperation Treaty," The Department of State Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, September 6, 2007, <http://www.state.gov/t/pm/rls/fs/91763.htm>.
24. The 2007 Base Structure Report lists Luxembourg as having two small bases, one of which is the Army Prepositioned Site Bettembourg, with a \$153.1M PRV, 117 acres, and 1,001 personnel; however, according to a 2006 DOD News Release, this base should have closed by September 30,

- 2006, see below. "DoD Announces Installation Realignment in Luxembourg" U.S. Department of Defense News Release, March 17, 2006, <http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=9377>.
25. Ecuador's President, Rafael Correa, said he will not renew the DoD's lease on its base in Ecuador, Manta when it runs out in 2009, for more information, see: Lolita C. Baldor, "US Searches for New Anti-Drug Air Base," *The Washington Post*, October 5, 2007, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/10/05/AR2007100501960.html>.
26. The websites for the US Naval Regional Contracting Center and the Changi Naval Base, both in Singapore are not available. For information on the U.S. Navy in Singapore, see: "Duty in Singapore," *Comlog Westpac*, <http://www.clwp.navy.mil/duty.htm>.
27. The U.S. is negotiating to keep its basing rights in Oman, see: "U.S. Seeks to Keep Oman Bases Despite Anxieties About Iran," *World Tribune*, February 27, 2007.